

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/966,571 09/26/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		Peter Wagner	0002.P3.0.USN		
20350 7	590 07/15/2003				
	AND TOWNSEND A	EXAMINER			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			YANG, NELSON C		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/966,571		WAGNER, PETER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Nelson Yang		1641				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	iver, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 L	December 2002 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi —	on of Claims							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment		•	•					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	•	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,571 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, drawn to an array device for use in analyzing molecular events between biomolecules and analytes, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
 - II. Claim 7-8, drawn to a method for making and using an array for use in analyzing molecular events, classified in class 435, subclass 287.9.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. The array device from invention I could be used to analyze and detect biomolecules in the air using one or more immobilization regions. Invention II teaches a method for modifying the apparatus of invention I and using the modified array for use in analyzing and carrying out molecular events, involving the step of depositing a liquid containing one or more molecules onto at least one selected reaction regions of the apparatus of invention I. This method could be also be practiced by using another apparatus such as a DNA array.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,571

Art Unit: 1641

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for

one group is not required for others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Patrick Jewik on July 10, 2003 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is 703-305-4508. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

NY

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

07/14/13

Page 3